

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,028	02/21/2002	Sung-Jin Kim	SJKIM-001US	7738
7590 03/04/2004			EXAMINER	
Bruce B. Brunda STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER			MELLER, MICHAEL V	
Suite 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
75 Enterprise Aliso Viego, CA 92656			1654	*
rinco viego, e	11 72000		DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/080,028	KIM, SUNG-JIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael V. Meller	1654
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Statús		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the communication (s) filed on 2 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the communication (s) filed on 2 2b) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the communication (s) filed on 2 2b) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the condition of the condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the condition for all closed in accordance with the closed in accordance with the closed to the closed to the closed to the closed in accordance with the closed to the	This action is non-final.	· •
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-12 is/are with description of the above claim(s) 3-12 is/are with description of the above claim(s) 3-12 is/are with description of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 2, 13-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at a subject to restriction at a subject to by the Example of the above claim(s) is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the content of the above claim(s) 3-12 is/are with description of the above claim(s) 3-12 is/are allowed.	drawn from consideration. and/or election requirement. miner. accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Appriority documents have been received in Appriority documents have been received.	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	0 □1 2	(DTQ 442)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the many different types of solvents used to extract the plant.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Art Unit: 1654

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Ang Kim on behalf of Bruce Brunda on 2/17/2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of extraction with water. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 3-12 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent

Application/Control Number: 10/080,028

Art Unit: 1654

granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 402258725.

The extract is described and extracted with water.

Claims 1, 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by CN 1200281 or JP 409249578.

The extract is described in the abstract.

Claims 1, 2, 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 20010046524.

The extract is described and extracted with water.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,028

Art Unit: 1654

Claims 1, 2, 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CN 1200281 or JP 409249578 (JP '578) taken with JP 402258725 (JP '725) or US 20010046524.

CN and JP '578 teach that the plant can be extracted with alcohol. JP '725 and US teach that it is known to one of ordinary skill in the art to extract the plant with water which is a common extractant for plants. Thus, it would have been within the purview of the skilled artisan to extract the plant of CN with water since water is commonly used to extract plants.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 571-272-0967. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,028

Art Unit: 1654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654

MVM